## Case 2:22-cv-00288-JAM-KJN Document 32 Filed 05/04/22 Page 1 of 3

1	BRIAN M. BOYNTON		
2	Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General		
2	PHILLIP A. TALBERT		
3	United States Attorney		
	ALEXANDER K. HAAS		
4	Director, Federal Programs Branch		
5	ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO		
7	Deputy Director, Federal Programs Branch STUART J. ROBINSON		
6	Senior Counsel (CA Bar No. 267183)		
	COURTNEY D. ENLOW		
7	Trial Attorney (NC Bar No. 46578)		
8	United States Department of Justice		
	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch		
9	1100 L Street, NW		
	Washington, DC 20005		
0	Telephone: (415) 436-6635		
1	Fax: (415) 436-6632		
	Email: stuart.j.robinson@usdoj.gov		
12			
, ,	Counsel for Defendants		
13	VINVEND CELA		
4	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
_	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO DIVISION		
5			
		IENTO DIVISION	
		)	
16	JONATHAN DUNN,	) )	
		) ) ) )	
16 17	JONATHAN DUNN,	) ) ) ) )	
16	JONATHAN DUNN,	) ) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN	
16 17	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,	) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN )	
16 17 18	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as	) ) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME	
16 17 18	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.	) ) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS'	
16 17 18 19	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of Defense, et al.	) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' ) FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS	
16 17 18	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as	) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' ) FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS ) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR	
16 17 18 19	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of Defense, et al.	) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' ) FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of Defense, et al.	) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' ) FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS ) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR	
16 17 18 19 20 21	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of Defense, et al.	) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' ) FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS ) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of Defense, et al.	) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' ) FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS ) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of Defense, et al.  Defendants.	) ) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' ) FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS ) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR ) SUMMARY JUDGMENT )	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of Defense, et al.  Defendants.	) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' ) FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS ) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of Defense, et al.  Defendants.  Defendants.	) ) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' ) FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS ) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR ) SUMMARY JUDGMENT )	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of Defense, et al.  Defendants.  Defendants.	) ) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' ) FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS ) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR ) SUMMARY JUDGMENT ) unsel, hereby stipulate and agree to extend the ants' forthcoming dispositive motion, and for	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of Defense, et al.  Defendants.  Defendants.	) ) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' ) FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS ) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR ) SUMMARY JUDGMENT ) unsel, hereby stipulate and agree to extend the ants' forthcoming dispositive motion, and for	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	JONATHAN DUNN,  Plaintiff,  v.  LLOYD AUSTIN, in his official capacity as Secretary of Defense, et al.  Defendants.  Defendants.	) ) ) ) ) Case No. 2:22-cv-288-JAM-KJN ) ) STIPULATED EXTENSION OF TIME ) CONCERNING DEFENDANTS' ) FORTHCOMING MOTION TO DISMISS ) OR, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR ) SUMMARY JUDGMENT ) unsel, hereby stipulate and agree to extend the ants' forthcoming dispositive motion, and for	

	Case 2:22-cv-00288-JAM-KJN Document 32 Filed 05/04/22 Page 2 01 3
1	Good cause exists to extend these deadlines. Defendants' response to Plaintiff's
2	complaint is due on Monday, May 2, 2022. Defendants intend to respond to the complaint by
3	filing a motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, for summary judgment with respect to both of
4	Plaintiff's claims. Defendants' motion for summary judgment will be supported in part by the
5	administrative record compiled and certified by the Department of the Air Force. So as to give
6	Plaintiff adequate time to respond to Defendants' forthcoming motion, Plaintiff requests that
7	Plaintiff's deadline be extended until and including June 1, 2022. Likewise, so that Defendants
8	may have adequate time to prepare a reply in support of their forthcoming motion, Defendants
9	request that their deadline be extended until and including June 22, 2022. The parties
10	respectfully submit that no party will be prejudiced by these proposed extensions.
11	Based on the foregoing, the parties hereby stipulate to, and request the Court approve,
12	extensions of deadlines concerning Defendants' forthcoming motion to dismiss or, in the
13	alternative, for summary judgment, such that Plaintiff may file his response to Defendants'
	forthcoming motion on or before June 1, 2022, and Defendants may file a reply in support of
14	their motion on or before June 22, 2022.
15	IT IS SO STIPULATED.

16

17

Dated: April 28, 2022 Respectfully submitted,

18

BRIAN M. BOYNTON Principal Deputy Assistant Attorney General

20

19

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

21

ALEXANDER K. HAAS

22 23

Director, Federal Programs Branch

24

ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO Deputy Director, Federal Programs Branch

25

/s/ Stuart J. Robinson STUART J. ROBINSON Senior Counsel (CA Bar No. 267183)

26 27

28

COURTNEY D. ENLOW

## Case 2:22-cv-00288-JAM-KJN Document 32 Filed 05/04/22 Page 3 of 3

1 Trial Attorney (NC Bar No. 46578) United States Department of Justice 2 Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch 1100 L Street, NW 3 Washington, DC 20005 4 Telephone: (415) 436-6635 Fax: (415) 436-6632 5 Email: stuart.j.robinson@usdoj.gov 6 Counsel for Defendants 7 /s/ Thomas Molloy Thomas Molloy, SBN 325068 8 1125 Wedgewood Drive, 9 Woodway, TX 76712 (760) 799-7742 10 Counsel for Plaintiff 11 12 **ORDER** 13 The stipulation is approved. The deadline for Plaintiff to respond to Defendants' 14 forthcoming motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, for summary judgment is extended until and 15 including June 1, 2022, and the deadline for Defendants to file a reply in support of their motion 16 is extended until and including June 22, 2022. 17 18 Dated: May 3, 2022 /s/ John A. Mendez 19 THE HONORABLE JOHN A. MENDEZ 20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28